

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMER United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION N
10/634,656	08/05/2003	Richard L. Dunn	1195.323US1	6348
7590 10/04/2004 Schwegman, Lundberg, Woessner & Kluth, P.A.			EXAMINER	
			THOMPSON, MICHAEL M	
P.O. Box 2938 Minneapolis, N	Box 2938 Reapolis, MN 55402		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1-		•	3763	
			DATE MAILED: 10/04/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/634,656	DUNN ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
<u>· </u>	Michael M. Thompson	3763					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•	•					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This	FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		·					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	= , ,	· ·					
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Oπice	Action or form P1O-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	ion No					
Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ed in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receive	ea.					
Attachment(s)	л п	(DTO 440)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/634,656 Page 2

Art Unit: 3763

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

- 1. The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609 A(1) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.
- 2. The information disclosure statement filed 11-10-2003 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(3) because it does not include a concise explanation of the relevance, as it is presently understood by the individual designated in 37 CFR 1.56(c) most knowledgeable about the content of the information, of each patent listed that is not in the English language. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Application/Control Number: 10/634,656 Page 3

Art Unit: 3763

4. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Segal et al. (6,402,207) or Segal et al. (6,612,624). Both Segal et al. references teach fastening mechanism for medical instruments such as a syringe having portion 1 and portion 3, portion 1 having a flange or collar (55) and connecter portion 3 having connector 50 each of which are collectively attached to their respective medical syringe devices. He teaches the two medical connector portions being mated in a variety of schemes and may be rotatably coupled together to facilitate two syringe devices. In the least, please note columns 4-6.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the 6. claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 7. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chu (4,743,229) in view of Kanno (4,629,455). Chu teaches all of the limitations of the claims

Art Unit: 3763

except for explicitly reciting the locking ring being rotatably coupled with the male end portion.

Page 4

Kanno teaches a rotatably coupled locking ring mounted on a medical instrument. It would have

been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of invention to have modified the

connecting structure of Chu with the connecting member as taught by Kanno for the well known

purpose of providing a male and female connection alternative that can be joined firmly with

high reliability

Contacts

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

Examiner should be directed to Michael Thompson whose telephone number is (703) 305-1619.

The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 9 am to 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's

Supervisor, Nick Lucchesi, can be reached on (703) 308-2698. The official fax phone number for

all submissions to the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-

9306.

Michael M. Thompson

Patent Examiner

NICHOLAS D. LUCCHESI

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

September 22, 2004